Section A

Future items for Committee

Section A items are for INFORMATION purposes only. It comprises applications that have either been submitted some time ago but are still not yet ready for consideration or are recently received applications that are not ready to be considered by the Committee. The background papers for all the applications are the application details contained in the Part 1 Planning Register.

Item	Reference	Description and address
1	21/00271/FULPP	Erection of an extension to Kingsmead Shopping Centre; commercial, business and service uses on the ground floor (3,088sqm), 104 apartments over nine floors, private amenity space, 53 car parking spaces, up to 222 bicycle parking spaces, a bridge link and alterations to existing block 2 car park and The Meads, a new entrance to The Meads shopping centre.
		Block 3 Queensmead Farnborough
		This application is subject to a request for an extension of time to consider further amendments.
2	22/00340/REMPP	PART APPROVAL OF RESERVED MATTERS: for the erection of 71 dwellings (Phase 4), including access from Shoe Lane and Forge Lane, internal access roads, public open space, parking, lighting and associated infrastructure, following demolition of existing buildings and hardstanding, pursuant to Condition 3 (1-24) of Hybrid Outline Planning Permission 17/00914/OUTPP dated 15th May 2020. Blandford House And Malta Barracks Development Site Shoe Lane Aldershot
		Assessment of this application continues and has not yet reached the stage for Committee consideration.

3	23/00713/FUL	Erection of four one-bedroom flats with parking
		Manor Park Cottage, St Georges Road East
		Assessment of this application continues and has not yet reached the stage for Committee consideration.
4	23/00794/REVPP	Variation of Condition 2 (aircraft movements) and 6 (aircraft weight), replacement of conditions 7 (1:10,000 risk contour) and 8 (1:100,00 risk contour), of planning permission 20/00871/REVPP determined on the 22/02/2022, in order to:
		a) to increase the maximum number of annual aircraft movements from 50,000 to 70,000 per annum, including an increase in non-weekday aircraft movements from 8,900 to 18,900 per annum, and
		b) to amend the aircraft weight category of 50,000 - 80,000 Kg, to 55,000 - 80,000 Kg, and an increase from 1,500 to 2,100 annual aircraft movements within this category, including an increase from 270 to 570 annual aircraft movements for non-weekdays, and to
		c) replace Conditions Nos. 7 (1:10,000 risk contour) and 8 (1:100,000 risk contour) with a new condition to produce Public Safety Zone maps in accordance with the Civil Aviation Authority/ Department for Transport Requirements
		Farnborough Airport, Farnborough Road, Farnborough
		Assessment of this application continues and has not yet reached the stage for Committee consideration.
5	23/00879/FULPP	Demolition of existing office building and erection of a new build workshop for military vehicles, together with hardstanding areas and associated drainage, infrastructure and landscaping
		Mons Barracks, Aldershot
		Assessment of this application continues and has not yet reached the stage for Committee consideration.

Section B

Petitions

Item	Reference	Description and address
6(a)	23/00794/REVPP	A petition has been received 'to stop [the] Farnborough Airport Expansion', and states that 'we the undersigned do not want 'extended hours', 'additional flights', due to noise and poor air quality'. Individual comments include refence to 'noise, pollution, climate change' along with 'not wanting it' and that 'flights are too early' This petition contains 148 signatures, from persons within the following postcodes; GU4, GU9, GU12, GU11, GU12, GU14, GU15, GU16,GU19, GU21, GU22, GU29, GU35, GU46, GU51, GU52, RG45, RG22, RG26, RG27, RG29, SE17 & RM7
6(b)	23/00794/REVPP	A petition has been received from the residents of Keble Court, Redfield's Lane, Church Crookham, and is in relation to application 23/00794/REVPP. It states; 'objection to the planning application based on; increased carbon emissions per passenger flown, noise disturbance from increased flights especially at weekends and bank holidays. Noise annoyance from individual flight movements. Increased air pollution exacerbating local air quality issues and lack of proven business case (due to not meeting current limit of 50,000), loss of amenity due to increase in noise annoyance from more overhead flights, especially at weekends and bank holidays when they are trying to enjoy their gardens and open spaces. And that' their residences are directly under the flight path'. This petition contains 45 signatures and some signatories have also added 'flights are too early' and 'too many flights'.